



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. 8

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP
P.O. BOX 778
BERKELEY CA 94704-0778

COPY MAILED

NOV 13 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Marco Di Benedetto et al.
Application No. 10/026,311
Filed: December 21, 2001
Attorney Docket No. ANDIP006
Title: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR
IMPLEMENTING A HIGH AVAILABILITY
FIBRE CHANNEL SWITCH

DECISION ON RENEWED PETITION

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed on November 3, 2003, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f), to revive the above-identified application.

A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(f) must be accompanied by:

- (1) Notification of the filing of an application in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty that requires 18 month publication¹;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m), and;
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the notice from the date that the notice was due under 35 U.S.C. §122(b)(2)(B)(iii) until the date the notice was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

On December 23, 2002, a Notice of Rescission of Nonpublication Request was filed with the Office. Unfortunately, notification of the filing of the foreign or international application did not accompany this filing.

The original petition was filed on August 22, 2003, and was dismissed via the mailing of a decision on September 30, 2003, for failure to include notification of the filing of the foreign or international application.

¹ See PTO/SB/36 and paragraph on PTO/SB/64a for further information. Both may be downloaded at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/forms/index.html>.

With the renewed petition, Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application is the subject of an application filed in a foreign application on December 17, 2002. However, the United States Patent and Trademark Office was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in a foreign country.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §1.22(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 C.F.R. §1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of a foreign application.

The renewed petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f) is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner has submitted the notification of a foreign filing, paid the petition fee, and has made the proper statement of unintentional delay.

The instant petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the Office of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. §122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 C.F.R. §1.213(c) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

After this decision is mailed, the application will be forwarded to Technology Center 2100 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning *this decision* should be directed to Attorney Paul Shanowski at (703) 305-0011.



Paul Shanowski
Attorney
Office of Petitions
United States Patent and Trademark Office